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Strong asymptotics for the sequence of monic polynomials $Q_{n}(z)$, orthogonal with respect to the inner product

$$
(f, g)_{S}=\int f(x) g(x) d \mu_{1}(x)+\lambda \int f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(x) d \mu_{2}(x), \quad \lambda>0,
$$

with $z$ outside of the support of the measure $\mu_{2}$, is established under the additional assumption that $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ form a so-called coherent pair with compact support. Moreover, the asymptotic behaviour of the (square of) the norm $\left(Q_{n}, Q_{n}\right)_{s}$ and of the zeros of $Q_{n}$ is obtained. © 1998 Academic Press
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## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

The study of orthogonal polynomials with respect to inner products that involve derivatives (the so-called Sobolev orthogonal polynomials) has been a very active field of research for the past 10 years (see [8] for a wide bibliography on this subject). Although algebraic properties of such polynomials (existence, recurrence relations, etc.) have been widely studied, the definitive asymptotic results were known only for the case when the measure associated with the derivatives is discrete (see [5, 9] and recently [1]). The outer as well as the inner asymptotics for the orthogonal polynomials with both measures having an absolutely continuous component (excluding the trivial cases) is in general an open question. In this paper we study orthogonality with respect to the inner product

$$
(f, g)_{S}=\int f(x) g(x) d \mu_{1}(x)+\lambda \int f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(x) d \mu_{2}(x)
$$

with $\lambda>0$, in the case when both measures are non-discrete compactly supported on $\mathbf{R}$ and satisfy an additional assumption-they form a coherent pair.

The concept of coherence was introduced by Iserles et al. in [3], with the goal of obtaining Sobolev orthogonal polynomials that satisfy certain special properties. Later the algebraic properties of the Sobolev orthogonal polynomials with respect to coherent pairs and the relations involving also the orthogonal polynomials corresponding to both measures that form the coherent pair have been widely studied by Marcellán and co-workers [6, 7], Meijer [11, 12], Pérez [16], and others. Let us recall this concept for a pair of positive measures.

Definition 1. Let $\mu_{i}, i=1,2$, be two positive measures and let $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n}$ and $\left\{T_{n}(x)\right\}_{n}$ be the respective monic orthogonal polynomial sequences (MOPS). Then $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ is a coherent pair of measures if there exist non-zero constants $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}(x)=\frac{P_{n+1}^{\prime}(x)}{n+1}-\sigma_{n} \frac{P_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{n}, \quad n \geqslant 1 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recently, Meijer [13] gave the complete classification of coherent pairs. In particular, he proved that if $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ form a coherent pair of measures then necessarily one of the two measures $\mu_{i}$ must be classical (Laguerre or Jacobi).

Let $w_{1}, w_{2}$ be two non-negative weights on $(-1,1)$ related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w_{2}(x)}{w_{1}(x)}=\frac{1-x^{2}}{|x-\xi|}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a fixed $\xi \in \mathbf{R} \backslash(-1,1)$, and let $v_{1}, v_{2}$ be the corresponding absolutely continuous measures on $[-1,1]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d v_{i}(x)=w_{i}(x) d x, \quad i=1,2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, following [13], the complete classification of all coherent pairs of measures with compact support (up to a constant factor or a linear change of variable) is given by the following

Proposition 1. Let $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ be two measures, and let the support $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{1}\right)=$ $[-1,1]$. Then $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ form a coherent pair of measures if and only if one of the three following cases holds:

Case 1 (Absolutely Continuous Case). $\quad \mu_{i}=v_{i}, i=1,2$, where either

$$
w_{1}(x)=\rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)
$$

or

$$
w_{2}(x)=\rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)
$$

with $\rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)=(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta}$.
Case 2 (Mass Points in the First Measure).

$$
\mu_{1}=v_{1}+M \delta_{\xi}, \quad \mu_{2}=v_{2}, \quad M>0
$$

where

$$
w_{2}(x)=\rho^{(0, \beta)}(x) \quad \text { and } \quad \xi=1
$$

or

$$
w_{2}(x)=\rho^{(\alpha, 0)}(x) \quad \text { and } \quad \xi=-1 .
$$

Case 3 (Mass Points in the Second Measure).

$$
\mu_{1}=v_{1}, \quad \mu_{2}=v_{2}+M \delta_{\xi}, \quad M>0, \quad|\xi| \geqslant 1,
$$

where

$$
w_{1}(x)=\rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) .
$$

In all the cases $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are related by (2), (3) and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ can take any admisible value (i.e., such that $w_{1}, w_{2} \in L_{1}[-1,1]$ ).

Given a coherent pair of measures $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ we consider the Sobolev inner product

$$
(p, q)_{S}=\langle p, q\rangle_{1}+\lambda\left\langle p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right\rangle_{2}
$$

where $\langle p, q\rangle_{i}=\int p(x) q(x) d \mu_{i}(x), i=1,2$, and $\lambda>0$ is fixed. It is easy to see that $(\cdot, \cdot)_{S}$ is an inner product, so that there exists a monic orthogonal polynomial sequence, $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}_{n}$, with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_{S}$.

The following theorem allows us to obtain the strong asymptotics of the sequence $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n}$ and shows that it is actually described in terms of the measure $\mu_{2}$.

Theorem 1. Let $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ be a coherent pair of measures, $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{1}\right)=$ $[-1,1],\left\{T_{n}(x)\right\}_{n}$ the MOPS associated to $\mu_{2}$, and $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}_{n}$ the MOPS with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_{S}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_{n}(x)}{T_{n}(x)}=\frac{1}{\Phi^{\prime}(x)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \backslash[-1,1]$, where $\Phi(x)=\left(x+\sqrt{x^{2}-1}\right) / 2$ with $\sqrt{x^{2}-1}>0$ when $x>1$.

In the next section this theorem is proved. In Section 3 we study the norm and zero asymptotic behaviour of $Q_{n}(x)$ and establish that the zero asymptotics, as expected, can be described in terms of the support of the measure $\mu_{2}$.

A concept, closely connected with coherent pairs, is symmetric coherence (see [3]), which takes place in the case that both $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are symmetric with respect to the origin, and in the right-hand side of (1) the indices $n+2$ and $n$ are involved. In this case, (4) can also be established following the same line of reasoning that we propose. Nevertheless, we believe that actually the asymptotic relation (4) is a general fact that takes place under much milder assumptions on both measures, say when their absolutely continuous parts belong to the Szegő class.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In order to establish Theorem 1 we need some preliminary results that may be of independent interest. In fact, (4) is a direct consequence of the following algebraic relation, obtained in [3] (see also [6] and [16]), and whose proof we present for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 2. Let $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ be a coherent pair of measures. Then, with the notation introduced in Section 1, the following relation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n+1}(x)-\sigma_{n} \frac{n+1}{n} P_{n}(x)=Q_{n+1}(x)-\alpha_{n}(\lambda) Q_{n}(x), \quad n \geqslant 1, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n}(\lambda)=\sigma_{n} \frac{n+1}{n} \frac{k_{n}}{\widetilde{k}_{n}} \neq 0, \quad n \geqslant 1, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $k_{n}=\left\langle P_{n}(x), P_{n}(x)\right\rangle_{1}$ and $\tilde{k}_{n}=\left(Q_{n}, Q_{n}\right)_{S}$.
Proof. The coefficients of $Q_{n}(x)$ are rational functions of $\lambda$ with numerator and denominator of the same degree. Hence, for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ there exists a limit polynomial $R_{n}(x), n \geqslant 0$, of the polynomial $Q_{n}(x)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{0}(x)=Q_{0}(x)=1 \\
& R_{1}(x)=Q_{1}(x) \\
& R_{n}(x)=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow+\infty} Q_{n}(x), \quad n \geqslant 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $R_{n}$ is monic, has degree exactly $n$, and is independent of $\lambda$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle R_{n}, 1\right\rangle_{1}=0, & n \geqslant 1, \\
\left\langle R_{n}^{\prime}, x^{m}\right\rangle_{2}=0, & n \geqslant 2, \quad 0 \leqslant m \leqslant n-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From here we can deduce that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R_{n}(x)=P_{n}(x)-\sigma_{n-1} \frac{n}{n-1} P_{n-1}(x), & n \geqslant 2, \\
R_{n}^{\prime}(x)=n T_{n-1}(x), & n \geqslant 2 . \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

On the other hand, expressing $R_{n+1}$ as a linear combination of $\left\{Q_{i}(x)\right\}$,

$$
R_{n+1}(x)=Q_{n+1}(x)+\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i}^{(n+1)} Q_{i}(x)
$$

and using the orthogonality and relations (7) and (8) we obtain:

$$
a_{i}^{(n+1)}=-\sigma_{n} \frac{n+1}{n}\left\langle P_{n}(x), Q_{i}(x)\right\rangle_{1} \tilde{k}_{i}^{-1} .
$$

Thus $a_{i}^{(n+1)}=0$ when $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ and

$$
a_{n}^{(n+1)}=-\sigma_{n} \frac{n+1}{n} \frac{k_{n}}{\widetilde{k}_{n}}=-\alpha_{n}(\lambda) .
$$

In this way, in order to obtain from (5) the asymptotics of the $Q_{n}(x)$ it is essential to study the limit behaviour of the parameters $\sigma_{n}$ and $\alpha_{n}(\lambda)$.

Proposition 3. The parameters $\sigma_{n}$ of the coherence relation (1) satisfy:
(1) In Case 3 of Proposition 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n}=\Phi(\xi) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) In the rest of the cases,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n}=\frac{1}{4 \Phi(\xi)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we assume $\Phi( \pm 1)= \pm 1 / 2$.
Proof. The coherence condition (1) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}=\frac{\frac{1}{n+1} \frac{P_{n+1}^{\prime}(x)}{P_{n+1}(x)} \frac{P_{n+1}(x)}{P_{n}(x)}-\frac{T_{n}(x)}{P_{n}(x)}}{\frac{1}{n} \frac{P_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{P_{n}(x)}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that when $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ belong to Case 1 or 2 of Proposition 1, both $\mu_{1}$, $\mu_{2}$ satisfy Szegơ's condition. Recall that a measure $\mu$ on $[-1,1]$ whose absolutely continuous part is given by a weight $w(x)$ satisfies Szegő's condition (and we write $\mu \in S$ ) if

$$
\rho(\theta)=\pi w(\cos \theta)|\sin \theta| \in L_{1}[0,2 \pi]
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \rho(\theta) d \theta>-\infty .
$$

To such a measure we can associate the Szegő function

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(z, \mu):=\exp \left\{\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \rho(\theta) \frac{e^{\imath \theta}+z}{e^{i \theta}-z} d \theta\right\}, \quad|z|<1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials with respect to $\mu$, $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n}$, has the following strong outer asymptotics:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x)=\frac{D(0, \mu) \Phi^{n}(x)}{D\left(\frac{1}{2 \Phi(x)}, \mu\right)}(1+o(1)), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbf{C} \backslash[-1,1]$, with $\Phi(x)$ given in Theorem 1.
In particular, in this case we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{n+1}(x)}{P_{n}(x)} \rightarrow \Phi(x), \quad \frac{P_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{n P_{n}(x)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^{2}-1}}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \backslash[-1,1]$. Finally, it follows from (13) that the relative asymptotics $T_{n}(x) / P_{n}(x)$ when $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2} \in S$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{T_{n}(x)}{P_{n}(x)} \rightarrow \frac{D\left(0, \mu_{2}\right)}{D\left(0, \mu_{1}\right)} \frac{D\left(\frac{1}{2 \Phi(x)}, \mu_{1}\right)}{D\left(\frac{1}{2 \Phi(x)}, \mu_{2}\right)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbf{C} \backslash[-1,1]$, and in consequence only depends on the ratio of the weights $w_{2}(x) / w_{1}(x)$, which for a coherent pair is given by (2). These remarks allow us to follow a unifying approach for the proof of Theorem 1 in Cases 1 and 2. In fact, the Jensen-Poisson formula (see e.g. [15, p. 107]) and straightforward computation show that

$$
\frac{D\left(z, \mu_{2}\right)}{D\left(z, \mu_{1}\right)}= \begin{cases}\frac{\left(1-z^{2}\right) \sqrt{-\Phi(\xi)}}{(z-2 \Phi(\xi))}, & \xi \leqslant-1  \tag{16}\\ -\frac{\left(1-z^{2}\right) \sqrt{\Phi(\xi)}}{(z-2 \Phi(\xi))}, & \xi \geqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

where we assume $\Phi( \pm 1)= \pm 1 / 2$. Hence, in Cases 1 and 2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{T_{n}(x)}{P_{n}(x)} \rightarrow \frac{\Phi(x)}{\Phi(\xi)} \cdot \frac{1-4 \Phi(\xi) \Phi(x)}{1-4 \Phi^{2}(x)}=\Phi^{\prime}(x)\left(1-\frac{1}{4 \Phi(\xi) \Phi(x)}\right) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, taking limits in (11) and using (14)-(17) we obtain that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n}=\frac{1}{4 \Phi(\xi)}
$$

It remains for us to consider Case 3. Denote by $\left\{T_{n}^{*}(x)\right\}_{n}$ the sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials with respect to the absolutely continuous measure $v_{2} \in S$. The coherence condition (1) now can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}=\frac{\frac{1}{n+1} \frac{P_{n+1}^{\prime}(x)}{P_{n+1}(x)} \frac{P_{n+1}(x)}{P_{n}(x)}-\frac{T_{n}(x)}{T_{n}^{*}(x)} \frac{T_{n}^{*}(x)}{P_{n}(x)}}{\frac{1}{n} \frac{P_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{P_{n}(x)}}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the asymptotic behaviour of $T_{n}^{*}(x) / P_{n}(x)$ is given by (17), it only remains to study the ratio $T_{n}(x) / T_{n}^{*}(x)$. This was done by several authors, see e.g. [14, Lemma 16, p. 132; 2; or 4]. Under our assumptions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(x-\xi) T_{n}(x)}{T_{n+1}^{*}(x)} \rightarrow\left(1-\frac{\Phi(\xi)}{\Phi(x)}\right)^{2}, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \backslash[-1,1]$. Using (14) and (19) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{n}(x)}{T_{n}^{*}(x)} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{n}(x)}{T_{n+1}^{*}(x)} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{n+1}^{*}(x)}{T_{n}^{*}(x)} \\
& =\left(1-\frac{\Phi(\xi)}{\Phi(x)}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{x-\xi} \Phi(x)=\frac{(\Phi(x)-\Phi(\xi))^{2}}{(x-\xi) \Phi(x)} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbf{C} \backslash([-1,1] \cup\{\xi\})$.
Then, taking limits in (18) and using (14)-(17) and (20), we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n}=\Phi(x)-\frac{(\Phi(x)-\Phi(\xi))^{2}}{x-\xi}\left(1-\frac{1}{4 \Phi(\xi) \Phi(x)}\right)=\Phi(\xi) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4. The sequence $\alpha_{n}(\lambda)$ defined in (6) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}(\lambda)=0 . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n}(\lambda)=\sigma_{n} \frac{n+1}{n} \frac{k_{n}}{\widetilde{k}_{n}} \neq 0, \quad n \geqslant 1, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{n}=\left\langle P_{n}(x), P_{n}(x)\right\rangle_{1}$ and $\tilde{k}_{n}=\left(Q_{n}, Q_{n}\right)_{S}$. Using the extremal property of $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{k}_{n} & =\int_{-1}^{1} Q_{n}^{2}(x) d \mu_{1}(x)+\lambda \int_{-1}^{1}\left(Q_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2} d \mu_{2}(x) \geqslant \lambda n^{2} \int_{-1}^{1} T_{n-1}^{2} d v_{2}(x) \\
& \geqslant \lambda n^{2} k_{n-1}^{\prime}\left(v_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $k_{n}^{\prime}\left(v_{2}\right)=\inf \int\left(x^{n}+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{0}\right)^{2} d v_{2}(x)$.
Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\frac{k_{n}}{\tilde{k}_{n}} \leqslant \frac{k_{n}}{\lambda n^{2} k_{n-1}^{\prime}\left(v_{2}\right)} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mu_{1}, v_{2} \in S$,

$$
\frac{k_{n}}{k_{n-1}^{\prime}\left(v_{2}\right)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{D\left(0, \mu_{1}\right)}{D\left(0, v_{2}\right)}\right)^{2},
$$

where as above $D\left(z, \mu_{1}\right)$ and $D\left(z, v_{2}\right)$ are the Szegő functions associated with $\mu_{1}$ and $v_{2}$, respectively. Thus, taking limits in (24) we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_{n}}{\widetilde{k}_{n}}=0
$$

and it remains to use Proposition 3.
Now, we are ready to prove the main result in one step.
Proof of Theorem 1. With the notation

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y_{n}(x):=\frac{Q_{n}(x)}{P_{n}(x)}, \quad \delta_{n}(x):=\alpha_{n-1}(\lambda) \frac{P_{n-1}(x)}{P_{n}(x)}, \\
\beta_{n}:=1-\sigma_{n-1} \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{P_{n-1}(x)}{P_{n}(x)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Eq. (5) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n}(x)-\delta_{n}(x) Y_{n-1}(x)=\beta_{n}(x) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which uniquely defines the sequence $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}$ of analytic functions in $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \backslash[-1,1]$, with the initial values $Y_{0}=Y_{1}=1$. It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Y_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant\left|\delta_{n}(x)\right|\left|Y_{n-1}(x)\right|+\left|\beta_{n}(x)\right| . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (14) and (22) we obtain that there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{n}(x)\right|<\frac{1}{2}, \quad n \geqslant n_{0} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\left|\beta_{n}(x)\right|=\left|1-\sigma_{n-1} \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{P_{n-1}(x)}{P_{n}(x)}\right| \leqslant 1+\frac{n}{n-1}\left|\sigma_{n-1}\right|\left|\frac{P_{n-1}(x)}{P_{n}(x)}\right|
$$

From (9), (10), (14), and the inequality $|\Phi(x)|>1 / 2$ for $x \notin[-1,1]$, we deduce that there exist $B>0$ and $n_{1} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{n}(x)\right|<B, \quad n \geqslant n_{1} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (27) and (28) in (26), we have for $n \geqslant n_{2}=\max \left\{n_{0}, n_{1}\right\}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Y_{n}(x)\right|<\frac{1}{2}\left|Y_{n-1}(x)\right|+B . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the sequence

$$
Z_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}\left|Y_{n}(x)\right|, & n \leqslant n_{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} Z_{n-1}(x)+B, & n>n_{2}\end{cases}
$$

For $m>n_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{m+r}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{r} Z_{m}+2 B\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{r}}\right), \quad r=1,2, \ldots \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking limits when $r \rightarrow \infty$ in (30), we obtain that $Z_{n}(x)$ is uniformly bounded for all $n$ sufficiently large. Moreover, $0<\left|Y_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant Z_{n}(x)$, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Hence, $Y_{n}(x)$ is uniformly bounded. Taking limits in (25) and using (9), we have in Case 3 that,

$$
Y_{n}(x) \rightarrow 1-\frac{\Phi(\xi)}{\Phi(x)},
$$

and in the other cases, by (10), that

$$
Y_{n}(x) \rightarrow 1-\frac{1}{4 \Phi(\xi) \Phi(x)},
$$

both uniformly on compact subsets of $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \backslash[-1,1]$.
In this way, we have established the following assertion that gives the asymptotics of $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}_{n}$ relative to $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n}$ :

Proposition 5. Uniformly on compact subsets of $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \backslash[-1,1]$,

1. in Case 3 of Proposition 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_{n}(x)}{P_{n}(x)}=1-\frac{\Phi(\xi)}{\Phi(x)} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. in the rest of the cases,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_{n}(x)}{P_{n}(x)}=1-\frac{1}{4 \Phi(\xi) \Phi(x)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can derive (4).
Cases 1 and 2. Combining (17) and (32) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_{n}(x)}{T_{n}(x)} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_{n}(x)}{P_{n}(x)} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{n}(x)}{T_{n}(x)} \\
& =\left(1-\frac{1}{4 \Phi(\xi) \Phi(x)}\right) \frac{\Phi(\xi)\left(4 \Phi(x)^{2}-1\right)}{\Phi(x)(4 \Phi(x) \Phi(\xi)-1)}=\frac{1}{\Phi^{\prime}(x)},
\end{aligned}
$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \backslash[-1,1]$.
Case 3. Using (17), (20), and (31) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_{n}(x)}{T_{n}(x)} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_{n}(x)}{P_{n}(x)} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{n}(x)}{T_{n}^{*}(x)} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{n}^{*}(x)}{T_{n}(x)} \\
& =\left(1-\frac{\Phi(\xi)}{\Phi(x)}\right) \frac{\Phi(x)}{\Phi^{\prime}(x)(\Phi(x)-\Phi(\xi))}=\frac{1}{\Phi^{\prime}(x)} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

with $x \in \overline{\mathbf{C}} \backslash([-1,1] \cup \xi)$; clearly, (33) holds also in a neighborhood of $\xi$.
Thus, the theorem is proved.
As we pointed out above, Theorem 1 allows us to establish the strong outer asymptotics of the sequence $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}_{n}$ :

Corollary 1. With the hypothesis of Theorem 1 and notation introduced above,
(1) if $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{2}\right)=[-1,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{\Phi^{\prime}(x)} \frac{D\left(0, \mu_{2}\right) \Phi^{n}(x)}{D\left(\frac{1}{2 \Phi(x)}, \mu_{2}\right)}(1+o(1)) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbf{C} \backslash[-1,1]$.
(2) if $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ belongs to Case 3 of Proposition 1 with $|\xi|>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{\Phi^{\prime}(x)} \frac{(\Phi(x)-\Phi(\xi))^{2}}{x-\xi} \frac{D\left(0, v_{2}\right)}{D\left(\frac{1}{2 \Phi(x)}, v_{2}\right)} \Phi^{n-1}(x)(1+o(1)) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \backslash([-1,1] \cup\{\xi\})$.

## 3. NORM AND ZERO ASYMPTOTICS

Now we study the (Sobolev) norm behaviour of $Q_{n}(x)$. With the notation $k_{n}=\left\langle P_{n}(x), P_{n}(x)\right\rangle_{1}, k_{n}^{\prime}=\left\langle T_{n}(x), T_{n}(x)\right\rangle_{2}$, and $\widetilde{k}_{n}=\left(Q_{n}, Q_{n}\right)_{S}$, introduced above, the following theorem holds:

Theorem 2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n}+\lambda n^{2} k_{n-1}^{\prime} \leqslant \tilde{k}_{n} \leqslant k_{n}+\sigma_{n-1}^{2}\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^{2} k_{n-1}+\lambda n^{2} k_{n-1}^{\prime}, \quad n \geqslant 2 . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, in Cases 1 and 2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^{n-1} \tilde{k}_{n}}{n^{2}}=2 \pi D^{2}\left(0, \mu_{2}\right) \lambda . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using the extremal property of $k_{n}$ and $k_{n}^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{k}_{n} & =\left(Q_{n}(x), Q_{n}(x)\right)_{S}=\left\langle Q_{n}(x), Q_{n}(x)\right\rangle_{1}+\lambda\left\langle Q_{n}^{\prime}(x), Q_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right\rangle_{2} \\
& \geqslant\left\langle P_{n}(x), P_{n}(x)\right\rangle_{1}+\lambda n^{2}\left\langle T_{n-1}(x), T_{n-1}(x)\right\rangle_{2} . \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, from the extremal property of $\tilde{k}_{n}$, for the limit polynomials $R_{n}$ satisfying (8) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{k}_{n} & \leqslant\left(R_{n}(x), R_{n}(x)\right)_{S}=\left\langle R_{n}(x), R_{n}(x)\right\rangle_{1}+\lambda\left\langle R_{n}^{\prime}(x), R_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right\rangle_{2} \\
& =\left\langle R_{n}(x), R_{n}(x)\right\rangle_{1}+\lambda n^{2} k_{n-1}^{\prime} . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

By (7),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle R_{n}(x), R_{n}(x)\right\rangle_{1} & =\left\langle P_{n}-\sigma_{n-1} \frac{n}{n-1} P_{n-1}, P_{n}-\sigma_{n-1} \frac{n}{n-1} P_{n-1}\right\rangle_{1} \\
& =k_{n}+\sigma_{n-1}^{2}\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^{2} k_{n-1} . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (39) and (40) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{k}_{n} \leqslant k_{n}+\sigma_{n-1}^{2}\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^{2} k_{n-1}+\lambda n^{2} k_{n-1}^{\prime} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

From inequalities (38) and (41) the result (36) follows.
In particular, taking limits in (36) with $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain (37).
Finally, we make some remarks on the behaviour of the zeros of $Q_{n}(x)$.
First, the strong asymptotics in (34) implies weak asymptotics. That is, if we associate with each $Q_{n}(x)$ the discrete unit measure with equal positive masses at its zeros (with account of multiplicity),

$$
\omega_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{Q_{n}(\xi)=0} \delta_{\xi},
$$

then if $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{2}\right)=[-1,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \omega_{n}(x) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the weak-* topology.
Furthermore, Corollary 1 implies the following assertion:
Corollary 2. The zeros of Sobolev monic orthogonal polynomials are, in all the cases, dense in $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{2}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcap_{n \geqslant 1} \overline{\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty}\left\{x: Q_{k}(x)=0\right\}}=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{2}\right) . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\mu_{2}$ has a mass point $\xi \in \mathbf{R} \backslash[-1,1]$, exactly one zero of $Q_{n}(x)$ is attracted by $\xi$ and the rest accumulate at $[-1,1]$.

Proof. It is sufficient to observe that $Q_{n}(x) / T_{n}(x)$ is a sequence of analytic functions in $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{2}\right), \Phi(x)$ is analytic and has no zeros in $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \backslash[-1,1]$, and the zero asymptotics of $T_{n}(x)$ is known in all the cases. Hence, the zeros of $Q_{n}(x)$ cannot accumulate outside $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{2}\right)$. On the other hand, (42) shows that they must be dense in $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{2}\right)$.
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